

JAN 11 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application Serial No..... 10/705,685
Filing Date..... November 10, 2003
Inventor..... John R. Tuttle
Assignee..... Micron Technology, Inc.
Group Art Unit..... 2632
Examiner..... Tai T. Nguyen
Attorney Docket No..... MI40-382
Title: Miniature Radio Frequency Transceiver

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR § 1.8

I hereby certify that the following papers are being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office at (571) 273-8300 on the date shown below:

1. PTO Transmittal Form (PTO/SB/21)
2. Comments on Statement for Reasons of Allowance
3. Certificate of Facsimile Transmission

Dated:

Jan. 11, 2006

By:

Susan Wenzl
Susan Wenzl
Telephone No. (509) 624-4276
Facsimile No. (509) 838-3424

NUMBER OF PAGES IN FACSIMILE: 4**FEE DEFICIENCY**

Although it is believed that no fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required by this paper to Deposit Account No. 23-0925.

Dated:

January 10, 2006

By:

Deepak Malhotra
Deepak Malhotra, Reg. No. 33,560

JAN 11 2006

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)


Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031


U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	10705,685
	Filing Date	November 10, 2003
	First Named Inventor	John R. Tuttle
	Art Unit	2632
	Examiner Name	Tai T. Nguyen
	Attorney Docket Number	MI40-382
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		4

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Comments on Statement for Reasons of Allowance; Certificate of Facsimile Transmission
Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm Name	WELLS ST. JOHN P.S. (Customer No. 021587)		
Signature			
Printed name	DEEPAK MALHOTRA		
Date	January 10, 2006	Reg. No.	33,560

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:		
Signature		
Typed or printed name	SUSAN WENZL (FILED BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION)	Date 1-11-2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

JAN 11 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No. 10/705,685
Confirmation No. 4637
Filing Date November 10, 2003
Inventor John R. Tuttle
Assignee Micron Technology, Inc.
Group Art Unit 2632
Examiner Tai T. Nguyen
Customer No. 021567
Attorney Docket No. MI40-382
Title: Miniature Radio Frequency Transceiver

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT FOR REASONS OF ALLOWANCE

To: Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From: Deepak Malhotra (Tel. 509-624-4276; Fax 509-838-3424)
Wells St. John P.S.
601 W. First Avenue, Suite 1300
Spokane, WA 99201-3828

The Notice of Allowance mailed December 21, 2005 is acknowledged.

A telephone interview that took place between the Examiner and the undersigned on November 28, 2005 is acknowledged. The content of that interview is accurately reflected in the Examiner's Amendment that appears on page 2 of the Notice of Allowance.

The Examiner's reasons for allowance indicated on Page 3 of the Notice of Allowance are apparently directed toward subject matter included in claim 3. However, it is respectfully submitted that each claim is different, and that there are other claims which have been allowed which do not have all of the features recited in the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance; and yet the Examiner's careful

Appl. No. 10/705,685
Response to 8/30/05 Office Action
Atty. Dkt. MI40-382

examination has resulted in allowance of these other claims as well as allowance of claims 3. It is axiomatic in PTO examination that if the Examiner had predicated his rationale for allowance of all the claims solely only on the stated reasons, the other claims not having all such features would have been rejected, and they were not. Accordingly, it is believed that the Examiner's stated reasons were drafted specifically in reference to limitations of one particular claim and that other reasons may apply to the other claims. Each claim is believed to be allowable for its recited features in combination rather than for only the limitations that make claim 3 allowable, particularly where the Examiner has allowed claims having such features and allowed other claims not having such features.

If the Examiner does not agree that all of claims 3, 5-12, and 23-37 are allowable, including those claims that do not contain all the limitations listed in the Reasons For Allowance, the Examiner is requested to reopen prosecution.

The undersigned is available for telephone consultation at any time.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 10, 2006

By: 
Deepak Malhotra
Reg. No. 33,560